

FIG. 1




FIG.2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4 A

S1	S2	S3
S4	S5	S6

FIG. 4 B




FIG.5




FIG. 6




FIG.7




FIG.8





FIG. 9A



FIG. 9B



FIG. 10



TEMPLATE FOR RIGHT EYE

FIG.11




FIG. 12




FIG.13




FIG. 14A




FIG. 14B




FIG.15




FIG. 16




FIG. 17




FIG. 18




FIG.19




FIG.20

PERFORMANCE EXAMINATION RESULT OF
SIX-SEGMENTED RECTANGULAR FILTER (TOTAL 400)

RECTANGULAR FILTER SIZE	SCALE OF SIZE	RECIPROCAL OF SCALE	NUMBER OF FAILURE (PIECES)	NUMBER OF CANDIDATE POINT (PIECES (AVERAGE))	EXTRACTING RATE (%)
24 x 12	0.3	3.3	13	17	96.8
36 x 18	0.6	1.67	11	8	97.25
48 x 24	0.8	1.25	15	5	96.25
60 x 30(REFERENCE)	1.0	1.0	33	4	92.0
72 x 36	1.2	0.83	42	3	89.5
84 x 42	1.4	0.71	192	3	52.0

FIG. 21




FIG.22

RELATIONSHIP AMONG FILTER SIZE,
PARALLAX AND EXTRACTING SIZE

FILTER SIZE	FILTER SIZE	PARALLAX	EXTRACTING SIZE
40 × 20	—	10~15	56 × 28
	—	15~20	52 × 26
	—	20~25	48 × 24
	—	25~30	44 × 22
	24 × 12	30~35	40 × 20
		35~40	36 × 18
		40~45	32 × 16
		45~50	28 × 14
		50~55	24 × 12
		55~60	20 × 10
—			
—			
—			
—			

FIG.23




FIG.24




FIG. 25




FIG. 26

